CM1202 Developing Quality Software

Implementation Marksheet: Demonstration of Prototype (80%)

Team:

Coverage of Main Requirements:

EACH of the main requirements will be assessed against the following criteria:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Excellent** | The implemented system meets the criteria for a good demonstration for this requirement and has also provided interesting and relevant further features or impressive functionality for this requirement. |
| **Good** | The implemented system clearly satisfies this requirement by covering the essential steps for a suitable basic flow in a logical order and providing a reasonable interface for the task performed |
| **Adequate** | The implemented system shows reasonable progress towards satisfying this requirement. However, more work is needed in one or more of the following: to include some missing steps in the basic flow, correct the logic of the flow, correct some errors in the task or improve the interface. |
| **Poor** | The implemented system does not satisfy this requirement and will require a significant amount of work to address one or more of the following: to include missing steps in the basic flow, correct the logic of the flow, correct errors in the task or improve the interface. |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Excellent** | **Good** | **Adequate** | **Poor** |
| 1. Provide a short lesson on a topics from COMSC’s autumn semester year 1 modules. |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Provide a short test for each of the chosen topics, which displays the result of the test to the student and stores the result once the test is completed. |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Provide the facility for storing and retrieving students’ results. The Lecturer should be able to retrieve the results of a student at a later point. |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Provide one interesting extension to your functionality (clearly stated by the team). |  |  |  |  |
| 1. *Teams of five or more:* Provide a second short lesson and test on a different topic from COMSC’s autumn semester year 1. |  |  |  |  |
| 1. *Teams of six:* provide a further interesting extension to your functionality (clearly stated by the team). |  |  |  |  |

**Comments about the Prototype:**

*(E.g. Inadequate or missing functionality, impressive functionality for a requirement)*

**Comments about the Prototype (Continued):**

Consistency of the System:

Excellent: All interfaces had a consistent look and feel

Good: Most of the interfaces had a similar look and feel

Adequate: At least half of of the interfaces were reasonably similar

Poor: Most of the interfaces were inconsistent

Mark:\_\_\_\_\_